

Lisa J. Rodriguez, New Jersey Managing Partner Woodland Falls Corporate Park 220 Lake Drive East Suite 200 Cherry Hill, NJ 08002-116 856.482.5222 Fax 856.482.6980 schnader.com

Lisa J. Rodriguez Direct Dial 856-482-5741 Direct Fax 856-482-2578 E-Mail: lrodriguez@schnader.com

November 25, 2020

VIA ECF

Honorable Peter G. Sheridan, U.S.D.J. U.S. District Court, District of New Jersey Clarkson S. Fisher Fed. Bldg. & U.S. Courthouse 402 East State Street Trenton NJ 08608

> Re: In re Lipitor Antitrust Litigation Civil Action No: 12cv2389

Dear Judge Sheridan:

I write on behalf of the End-Payor Plaintiffs in response to the Reply Memorandum in Support of Sandra Hellgren's and Anita J. Cox's Motion for Reconsideration ("Reply"), seeking to improperly strike the End-Payors' opposition as untimely [Dkt. 1009].

As an initial matter, End-Payor Plaintiffs note, and the Reply acknowledges, that the "movant is not entitled to a reply on a motion for reconsideration" under the applicable local rules. Reply at 1. Yet, the movants attempt to use their improperly filed Reply to seek relief that they are likewise not entitled to – the striking of the End-Payor Plaintiffs' Opposition to Sandra Hellgren's and Anita J. Cox's Motion for Reconsideration. The request should be denied on this basis alone.

To the extent the Court entertains the relief sought, End-Payor Plaintiffs' respectfully submit that the request should be denied. End-Payor Plaintiffs inadvertently mis-calendared the new opposition date, requested in the L. Civ. R. 7.1(d)(5) Letter by conflating the new return date for the motion with the opposition date. End-Payor Plaintiffs' respectfully request that the Court accept their opposition as if it had been timely filed. The California Consumer plaintiffs claim that the opposition was filed on the date of the hearing. This is incorrect as a hearing on their motion has not yet been scheduled.

Hellopen and Cox moned to strike the brief of end-payors as miny filled to the the late. That motion exapplication is divised. (ECF 1009).

Linied. (ECF 1009).

Linied. (ECF 1009).

Linied. (ECF 1012).

Linied. (ECF 101

Honorable Peter G. Sheridan, U.S.D.J. November 25, 2020 Page 2

They do not claim that there has been any prejudice to them caused by the delayed filing. They cannot. There has been no prejudice. End-Payor Plaintiffs' respectfully submit that the movants attempt to use the opposition filing date as an end-run around the reply rules should not be sanctioned.

Respectfully,

/s/ Lisa J. Rodriguez
For SCHNADER HARRISON SEGAL & LEWIS LLP

LJR/mpw